		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	СОМР	Atump. Atum
1	SADMIRA RAMIC, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No.: 15984 CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No.: 13932	CASE NO: A-22-856144-C
4	SOPHIA A. ROMERO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12446	Department 13
5	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES	
3	UNION OF NEVADA	
6	601 South Rancho Drive, Suite B-11 Las Vegas, NV 89106	
7	Telephone: (702) 366-1226	
8	Facsimile: (702) 830-9205 Email: ramic@aclunv.org	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DIS	FRICT COURT
11	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA
12		
13	DAWNYELL FLYNN, an individual,	Case No.:
14	Plaintiff,	
15	VS.	Department:
16		
	STATE OF NEVADA ex rel. NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, a public	COMPLAINT (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)
17	entity of the State of Nevada; <sup>1</sup> DONALD BURSE,	(JURI IMAL DEMARDED)
18	an individual; CHARLES DANIELS, director, in his official capacity; and Doe Nevada Department	ARBITRATION EXEMPTION
19	of Corrections Employees $1 - 10$ , in their individual	CLAIMED: EQUITABLE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF
20	and official capacity.	REQUESTED
21	Defendant(s).	
22		
23		
24	1 NDS 12 105 State and load gaugemental agencies may be	and without noming members of their governing
25	<sup>1</sup> NRS 12.105 State and local governmental agencies may be subodies; service. Any political subdivision, public corporation, sp	ecial district, or other agency of state or local
26	government which is capable of being sued in its own name may the individual members of its governing body in their representat	
27	may be provided by statute or rule of court, service may be made subdivision, corporation or agency.	

**Electronically Filed** 

### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW Plaintiff, DAWNYELL FLYNN, by and through counsel Sadmira Ramic, Esq., Christopher M. Peterson, Esq., and Sophia A. Romero, Esq., of the American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada, and for her Complaint, alleges as follows:

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The Nevada Department of Corrections and Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center, due to a failure to implement preemptive policies, allowed for the sexual assault of prison inmates by prison staff. The Nevada Department of Corrections and Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center prison officials were aware of a substantial risk of sexual assaults and created an environment within the prison, which provided the opportunity for Donald Burse to commit the sexual assaults. Their actions violated Ms. Flynn's rights under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as resulting in the infliction of emotional distress, battery, and unlawful imprisonment as defined under Nevada law. Plaintiff is seeking damages and injunctive and declaratory relief.

### II. <u>PARTIES</u>

1. Plaintiff, DAWNYELL FLYNN, is, and was at all times relevant herein, a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark, who was and continues to be incarcerated at the Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center.

2. Defendant, THE STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, is the governmental agency in the State of Nevada tasked with overseeing and operating all prisons located in the state, including Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center.

3. Defendant, DONALD BURSE, is, and was at all times relevant herein, a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and was, at the time relevant to this complaint, employed

by the Nevada Department of Corrections as an institutional chaplain for Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center.

4. Defendant, CHARLES DANIELS, is, and was at all times relevant herein, a resident of the State of Nevada, and is employed as the Director of the Nevada Department of Corrections.

5. Defendants DOES 1 – 10, are unknown employees, staff, contractors, or agents of the Nevada Department of Corrections, along with those acting in concert with them, who were:
1) responsible for hiring, supervising, and monitoring Donald Burse; 2) tasked with developing and implementing NDOC's policies and procedures on prevention, response, and elimination of sexual assaults within prisons; and 3) aware of the risk of sexual assaults within FMWCC and deliberately chose to ignore it.

6. At all relevant times herein, all Defendants acted under color of state law, and all individual Defendants were acting within the scope of their employment.

# III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The transactions and occurrences that give rise to Plaintiff's claims against Defendants occurred in the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

8. Defendants operate and/or reside in Clark County, Nevada and are an agency of or employed by the State of Nevada.

9. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article 6, Section 6, of the Constitution of the State of Nevada and NRS 30.030 (Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act).

10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to NRS 13.020 and 13.040 because the cause,or some part thereof, arose in the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

**EXHAUSTION** 1 11. Plaintiff, DAWNYELL FLYNN, has exhausted all administrative remedies 2 available to her as required under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) of the Prison Litigation Reform Act 3 4 (PLRA). 5 V. **STATEMENT OF FACTS** 6 A. As to Defendant Donald Burse 7 12. Defendant, Donald Burse ("Mr. Burse"), was employed by the Nevada Department 8 of Corrections ("NDOC") is an institutional chaplain for Florence McClure Women's Correctional 9 Center ("FMWCC"). 10 11 13. At the same time, Plaintiff, Dawnyell Flynn ("Ms. Flynn") was, and is currently, 12 serving a sentence at FMWCC. 13 14. Around June or July of 2020, Mr. Burse began to frequent the dorm where Ms. 14 Flynn was housed. 15 15. What began as causal conversation with Mr. Burse regarding Ms. Flynn's religion, 16 quickly turned to inappropriate comments about Ms. Flynn's body and sexual acts that he would 17 like to perform on Ms. Flynn. 18 19 16. Sometime around August of 2020, Mr. Burse called for Ms. Flynn to come to his 20 office, located inside the chapel of the prison. 21 17. Ms. Flynn went to his office under the belief that Mr. Burse would give her a bible. 22 18. When Ms. Flynn arrived, Mr. Burse was the only one in the chapel. 23 19. Upon information and belief, the chapel and Mr. Burse's office are not surveilled 24 in any form. 25 26 20. Mr. Burse began the conversation by asking Ms. Flynn if she was wearing a wire. 27

IV.

1	21.	When Ms. Flynn confirmed that she was not, Mr. Burse began to discuss his
2	abilities to ob	tain certain items for Ms. Flynn if she would perform sexual acts on him and allow
3	him to perform sexual acts on her.	
4	22.	Mr. Burse then rose from his chair, walked over to Ms. Flynn, and made her unzip
5	her pants.	
6 7	23.	Mr. Burse sexually assaulted Ms. Flynn by placing his hand inside her pants and
8	touching her v	vagina.
9	24.	Shortly after this sexual assault, Mr. Burse again called for Ms. Flynn to come to
10	his office.	
11	25.	Ms. Flynn was under the belief that Mr. Burse had the items he had promised her.
12	26.	By the time Ms. Flynn reached the chapel, Mr. Burse was waiting for her outside
13	the door of the chapel.	
14	27.	Mr. Burse escorted Ms. Flynn into his office and locked the door behind him.
15 16	28.	Mr. Burse commanded Ms. Flynn to undress and sit on his desk.
10	29.	Mr. Burse then performed sexual acts on Ms. Flynn.
18	30.	Fearful, uncomfortable, and wanting to put an end to her sexual assault, Ms. Flynn
19	told Mr. Burs	e that she heard someone coming.
20	31.	When Mr. Burse stopped to check the door, Ms. Flynn quickly put her clothes on
21	and tried to leave the room.	
22	32.	However, Mr. Burse grabbed Ms. Flynn from behind and continued the assault by
23	placing his ha	and inside her shirt and touching her breast while using the other hand to touch her
24 25	vagina.	
23 26		
20		

1	33.	While holding Ms. Flynn from behind, Mr. Burse rubbed his genitals on her
2	buttocks.	
3	34.	Ms. Flynn was eventually able to pull away from Mr. Burse.
4	35.	Before Ms. Flynn was able to exit the office, Mr. Burse threatened her and warned
5	her not to tell	anyone about what occurred.
6	36.	Ms. Flynn filed a grievance on August 10, 2021, with the prison describing these
7	two incidents	•
8	37.	In January of 2022, the prison informed Ms. Flynn that an investigation had been
9		d that her grievance was sustained.
10 11	38.	Mr. Burse was terminated from his position as a chaplain.
12		
13	39.	As of May 19, 2022, the Nevada Attorney General's Office has filed three felony
14	counts agains	st Burse: two felony counts of Sexual Abuse of Prisoner by an Employee and one
15	felony count of Unauthorized Custodial Conduct by Employee.	
16	40.	The criminal case is currently ongoing under case number 22-CR-022659 in the
17	Las Vegas Ju	stice Court.
18		
19	B. As to all other Defendants	
20	41.	Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, officers, and other NDOC
21	personnel, kn	ew that the chapel is an isolated area and a common place where sexual violence may
22	occur.	
23	42.	Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, despite this knowledge,
24		s staff took no steps to minimize the risk to Ms. Flynn, and other inmates, of sexual
25		s sum took no steps to minimize the risk to wis. Frynn, and other minates, of sexual
26	assault.	
27	43.	NDOC and its staff permitted male employees to be left alone with female inmates.

1	44.	Upon information and belief, there was, nor is there still, any NDOC policy
2	preventing ma	ale employees from being alone with female inmates.
3	45.	Upon information and belief, no form of supervision, including camera
4	installations,	were placed inside the chapel or Mr. Burse's office to monitor interactions between
5	employees an	d inmates inside the chapel.
6 7	46.	NDOC and its staff failed to inform Ms. Flynn of the dangers of possibly being
8	sexually assau	ulted in the chapel.
9	47.	Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, NDOC and its employees
10	knew that Mr	. Burse had sexually assaulted other inmates and failed to take steps to prevent the
11	same from ha	ppening to Ms. Flynn.
12	VI. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>	
13	48.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in paragraphs 1-47
14	as though fully set forth herein.	
15 16		A. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983- Sexual Assault in Violation of the 8 <sup>th</sup> Amendment (Against Donald Burse)
17 18	49.	Mr. Burse was acting under color of state law when he sexually assaulted Ms. Flynn
10	on both occas	
20	50.	The sexual assaults served no valid penological purpose.
21		
22	51. Mr. Burse sexually assaulted Ms. Flynn for his own sexual gratification, or for the	
23	purpose of humiliating, degrading, or demeaning her.	
24	52.	Such actions violated Ms. Flynn's civil rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and her right
25	to be free from	m cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth Amendment of the United States
26	Constitution.	
27		

I	l	
1		<b>B. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</b>
2		42 U.S.C. § 1983- Deliberate Indifference in Violation of the 8 <sup>th</sup> Amendment (Against Charles Daniels and Does 1 - 10)
3	53.	Defendants had a legal obligation to protect Ms. Flynn from being sexually
4		Detendante had a legal congation to protect hist right hom comg containly
5	assaulted.	
6	54.	Defendants actions and omissions created a substantial risk of serious injury to Ms.
7	Flynn.	
8	55.	Defendants were aware of the risk of serious injury to Ms. Flynn and deliberately
9	chose to ignor	re it.
10	56.	Defendants acted with deliberate indifference to a substantial risk of harm to Ms.
11	Flynn in viola	tion of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
12	5	C. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
13		Battery
14		(Against Donald Burse and NDOC)
15	57.	Mr. Burse, acting within the scope of his employment, subjected Ms. Flynn to
16	harmful or of	fensive touching when he: 1) touched Ms. Flynn's vagina over her pants; 2) put his
17	hand inside h	er pants; 3) performed oral sex on her; and 4) put his hands inside her shirt and
18	touched her b	reasts.
19	58.	Mr. Burse intentionally and unlawfully caused Ms. Flynn to fear offensive and
20		
21	harmful conta	ct.
22	59.	Mr. Burse intentionally and unlawfully caused such offensive and harmful contact
23	to occur.	
24	60.	Ms. Flynn did not consent to being touched in such a manner.
25	61.	As a direct and proximate result of Mr. Burse's actions, Ms. Flynn suffered injury
26	and damages.	
27		

1	62.	NDOC, as Donald Burse's employer, is vicariously liable for his tortious conduct.
2		D. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION False Imprisonment
3		(Against Donald Burse and NDOC)
4	63.	Mr. Burse acted, within the scope of his employment, with the intention to
5	unlawfully c	onfine Ms. Flynn, without her consent, and within boundaries fixed by him including,
6	to wit:	
7		a. Mr. Burse lured Ms. Flynn to his office;
8 9		b. Mr. Burse closed and locked the door;
10		c. No one else was present in the office with them; and
11		d. When Ms. Flynn tried to leave, Mr. Burse used physical force to prevent her
12		from doing so and further assaulted her.
13	64.	Ms. Flynn was conscious of the confinement at the time that it occurred.
14	65.	As a direct and proximate result of Mr. Burse's actions, Ms. Flynn suffered injury
15 16	and damages	
10	66.	NDOC, as Donald Burse's employer, is vicariously liable for his tortious conduct.
18		E. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
19		Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Against Donald Burse and NDOC)
20	67.	Mr. Burse engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct, within the scope of his
21	employment	, that exceeds all possible bounds of decency and is regarded as utterly intolerable in
22	a civilized community.	
23	68.	Mr. Burse acted intentionally or recklessly in causing Ms. Flynn extreme emotional
24 25	distress.	
23	69.	Mr. Burse's actions resulted in Ms. Flynn suffering extreme emotional distress.
27		

1	70.	As a direct and proximate result of Mr. Burse's actions, Ms. Flynn suffered injury
2	and damages.	
3	71.	NDOC, as Donald Burse's employer, is vicariously liable for his tortious conduct.
4		F. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
5	72.	Injunctive relief is a historical equitable remedy that has been codified in Nevada
6	law at NRS 3.	3.010.
7	73.	NRS 33.010 states that an injunction may be granted:
8	75.	
9		1. When it shall appear by the complaint that the plaintiff is entitled to the relief demanded, and such
10		relief or any part thereof consists in restraining the commission or continuance of the act complained of,
11		either for a limited period or perpetually.
12		2. When it shall appear by the complaint or
13		affidavit that the commission or continuance of some act, during the litigation, would produce great or
14		irreparable injury to the plaintiff.
15 16		3. When it shall appear, during the litigation, that the defendant is doing or threatens, or is about to do,
17		or is procuring or suffering to be done, some act in violation of the plaintiff's rights respecting the subject
18		of the action, and tending to render the judgment ineffectual.
19	74.	As stated above, Ms. Flynn is entitled to relief regarding the predatory and
20	unspeakable s	exual assaults committed by Mr. Burse while employed as a chaplain for FMWCC.
21	75.	Failing to require NDOC and its employees, staff, contractors, or agents to seize
22		
23	implementing policies and procedures which breed the ground for the opportunity for sexual	
24	assaults to occ	cur within the prison will cause irreparable injuries to Plaintiff and other inmates.
25	76.	Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, preventing employees, staff, contractors, or agents
26	of NDOC, ale	ong with those acting in concert with them, from carrying out and implementing
27		

unlawful policies, practices, and acts that create a substantial risk of harm, including sexual assaults, to Ms. Flynn and other inmates.

77. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, requiring NDOC to develop and implement policies, procedures, and practices to ensure that inmates are protected from harm due to sexual abuse and sexual harassment including: 1) prohibiting inmates to be left alone, in an unmonitored area, with staff of the opposite gender; 2) ensuring substantial video coverage of all of the primary areas, such as chapels, frequented by inmates; 3) ensuring substantial video coverage of all areas NDOC considers to be common places for sexual violence to occur; 4) prohibiting staff members accused of sexual assault or sexual harassment from having contact with inmates at least until the matter is fully investigated and prosecuted or dismissed; and 5) ensuring that all staff have the adequate knowledge, skill, and ability to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and grooming by staff members of individuals in custody.

# G. DECLARATORY RELIEF

78. Under the Nevada Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act, NRS 30.010 to 30.160, this Court has the power to declare the rights, status and other legal relations of the parties whether or not further relief is or could be claimed, and a declaration may be either affirmative or negative in form and effect, and such declarations have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree.<sup>2</sup>

79. Such declarations have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree.<sup>3</sup>

80. This matter satisfies the four elements that must be met for declaratory relief to be granted, as described below.<sup>4</sup>

81.

1. The facts stated above herein reveal a justiciable controversy in which a claim of

- 26 <sup>2</sup> See NRS 30.030.
  - <sup>3</sup> NRS 30.030.
- 27 4 *Kress v. Corey*, 65 Nev. 1, 25–26, 189 P.2d 352, 364 (1948).

1	right is asserted against one who has an interest in contesting it.
2	82. The controversy is between persons whose interests are adverse.
3	83. Ms. Flynn has a legally protectable interest in the controversy.
4	84. The issue involved in the controversy is ripe for determination as Ms. Flynn's harm
5	resulted from policies, practices, acts, and omissions of NDOC and its employees, staff,
6	contractors, or agents.
7	85. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment that the policies, practices, acts and
8 9	omissions complained of herein violated Plaintiff's rights.
10	
11	VII. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u> (As to all Defendants)
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief from this Court:
13	a. Non-economic damages in the sum in excess of \$50,000, or an amount to be determined
14	at the time of trial;
15	b. Punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter others from
16 17	like behavior;
17	c. All equitable injunctive relief that arises from or is implied by the facts, whether or not
19	specifically requested, including an injunction preventing employees, staff, contractors,
20	or agents of NDOC, along with those acting in concert with them, from carrying out
21	
22	and implementing unlawful policies, practices, and acts that create a substantial risk of
23	harm, including sexual assaults, to Ms. Flynn and other inmates.
24	d. Declaration of rights as set forth above;
25	e. Award Plaintiff her reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action; and
26	///
27	

1	f. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable.
2	Dated this 29 <sup>th</sup> day of July 2022.
3	This document does <b><u>not</u></b> contain the Social Security
4	number of any person. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of
5	perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA
7	<u>/s/ Sadmira Ramic, Esq.</u>
8	SADMIRA RAMIC, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15984
9	CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13932
10	SOPHIA A. ROMERO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12446
11	601 South Rancho Drive, Suite B-11
12	Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: (702) 366-1536
13	Facsimile: (702) 366-1331 Email: ramic@aclunv.org
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	