1 2 3 4 5 6	JENNIFER B. SHOMSHOR Nevada Bar No. 13248 CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON Nevada Bar No. 13932 NICOLE C. LEVY Nevada Bar No. 15061 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVA 601 South Rancho Drive, Suite B-11 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Tel./Fax. (702) 830-9205 / (702) 366-1331	DA						
7	Email: Shomshor@aclunv.org Email: Levy@aclunv.org							
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs							
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA							
11 12	Nevada Press Association, Plaintiff(s),	Case Number						
13	VS.	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING						
14	Steve Sisolak, Governor; Charles Daniels, Director	ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUCTION						
15	of the Nevada Department of Corrections; William Allan Gittere, Warden of Ely State Prison; the State							
16	of Nevada, ex. rel. Department of Corrections (NDOC); and Does 1–10, Unknown NDOC							
17	Personnel, in their official capacities as Agents of NDOC							
18								
19	Defendant(s).							
20								
21	COMES NOW Nevada Press Association, by and through undersigned counsel, and in							
22	accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65 and the equitable powers of this Court, to request that the Court							
23	enter a Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order, and in support thereof, state:							
24	PARTIES							
25	1. Plaintiff Nevada Press Association is the formal trade organization for news publications							
26	in the state of Nevada. It is a voluntary non-profit org	ganization that represents daily and weekly						

news publications in Nevada and the Lake Tahoe region of Northern California, as well as online
news services, magazines and others. It is dedicated to representing the common interests of
Nevada newspapers, furthering the public's right to know through an understanding that strong
newspapers (protected by the First Amendment) are the cornerstone of a democratic society,
promoting a closer fellowship within the newspaper fraternity, encouraging the elevation of
journalistic standards and promoting the value of newspaper advertising.

2. Defendant Steve Sisolak is the governor of the State of Nevada and is being sued in his official capacity for equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief.

3. Defendant Charles Daniels is the Director of NDOC and is being sued in his official capacity for equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief.

4. Defendant William Allan Gittere is the Warden of Ely State Prison, where Zane Floyd is currently incarcerated and will be executed, and is being sued in his official capacity for equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief.

5. Defendant the State of Nevada, Department of Corrections, is the agency tasked with planning and carrying out Zane Floyd's execution.

6. Defendants John and Jane Does are unknown employees, staff, contractors, or agents of NDOC or the State of Nevada who are NDOC officers, successors in office, agents, contractors, staff, and employees, along with those acting in concert with them, who have participated or will participate in Zane Floyd's execution in capacities involving, *inter alia*, developing and implementing NDOC's execution procedures – including the protocols governing the preparation and administration of drugs designed to execute people. Plaintiff is not aware of the true identities of the John and Jane Does, but alleges that when Plaintiff discovers their identities, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint accordingly.¹

¹ The Plaintiff refers to the Defendants variably as "Defendants," "the State," or "NDOC" where appropriate. This variation is solely for ease of reference and should not be interpreted as limiting the scope of the Plaintiff's claims. All claims are brought against each and all Defendants.

9

10

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1343 (civil-rights violations), 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (declaratory relief), and 28 U.S.C. § 2202 (injunctive relief). Plaintiffs invoke this Court's jurisdiction pursuant to the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). As a domestic Nevada corporation, the Plaintiff resides in this District. As the State represents in its Execution Manual, the State will execute Zane Floyd at Ely State Prison, a facility in this District, so the events giving rise to this Motion will occur in this District.

FACTS

11 9. Executions in the United States have traditionally been public affairs. California First Amendment Coalition v. Woodford, 299 F.3d 868, 875 (9th Cir. 2002). These public displays have 12 served multiple purposes, deterring similar misconduct, forcing the community to accept responsibility for sentencing one of its own to death, and holding the state executioners 14 15 accountable if their conduct violated the Constitution.

10. As executions moved from the town square to the prison yard, limiting the number of 16 witnesses in attendance, the press became the public's eyes and ears. Nevada law ensures that witnesses are always on hand at each execution,² and the media has always been keen to report whether the State is performing its most grim duty fairly and humanely, from the State's gruesome experimentation with the first gas chamber³ to Nevada's current efforts to execute Zane Floyd in an untried execution chamber with an untested drug cocktail.

² See NRS 176.355 (requiring "not less than six reputable citizens over the age of 21 years" be present for an execution).

³ Nevada performed the first ever gas chamber execution on February 8, 1924. Due to a miscalculation, most of the poisonous gas remained in its liquid state, and witnesses watched the condemned suffocate to death for approximately 10 minutes. Ryan Fan, The Gruesome First Gas

Chamber Execution, Medium, March 19, 2021, https://medium.com/crimebeat/the-gruesome-26 first-gas-chamber-execution-a7440dda2d8b. Warden Dickerson, who over saw the execution, reported that "This method of execution, while no doubt painless, is not, in my judgment practical."

1 11. Reflecting on this history and the importance of public access to executions, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has formally recognized that the public and the press have a First 3 Amendment right "to view executions in their entirety." First Amendment Coalition v. Ryan, 938 F.3d 1069, 1075 (9th Cir. 2019), citing Woodford, 299 F.3d at 875-77.

12. In establishing this right, the Ninth Circuit explained that "[e]xecution witnesses need to be able to observe and report on the entire process so that the public can determine whether lethal injections are fairly and humanely administered." Id. at 1076.

A. The Historical Significance of Zane Floyd's Execution

13. Zane Floyd was sentenced to death on July 22, 2000. The State now seeks to carry out his execution.

14. While the death penalty has existed in Nevada since its days as a territory of the United States, the State has not performed an execution since April 26, 2006, a hiatus of over 15 years.⁴ During this time, it closed the Nevada State Prison, the only prison to ever host an execution in Nevada, and built a new, \$860,000 execution chamber at Ely State Prison.⁵ The new chamber that has sat unused since construction concluded in 2016.

15. The State's efforts to conduct its first execution at Ely coincide with a recent public push to abolish the death penalty and a growing discussion about whether the practice should stay on

Scott Christianson, Fatal Airs: The Deadly History and Apocalyptic Future of Lethal Gases that Threaten Our World 50 (Praeger 2010). By comparison, newspapers described the execution as "the worst piece of official barbarity since the Dark Ages," and claimed that "One hundred years from now Nevada will be referred to as a heathen commonwealth controlled by savages with only the outward symbols of civilization." Id. at 50-51.

⁴ Khaleda Rahman, Nevada Set to Execute Death Row Inmate for First Time in 15 Years, Newsweek, June 8, 2021, https://www.newsweek.com/nevada-set-execute-first-inmate-15-years-1598519.

⁵ Sean Whaley, Nevada's new \$860,000 execution chamber is finished but gathering dust, Las Vegas Review-Journal, November 27, 2016, https://www.reviewjournal.com/crime/nevadas-new-860000-execution-chamber-is-finished-but-gathering-dust/

Case 3:21-cv-00317 Document 1 Filed 07/23/21 Page 5 of 18

jenniferNevada's books.⁶ During Nevada's last legislative session, the state House of
Representatives passed a bill to end executions only to see the legislation die in the Senate judiciary
committee without a hearing.⁷ There was extensive coverage around the bill with politicians on
both sides of the aisle speaking out in favor of its passage,⁸ and many reports drew a connection
between Clark County District Attorney Steve Wolfson's efforts to move forward with Zane
Floyd's execution and his efforts to kill the abolition bill.⁹

16. Perhaps most significant from a national perspective is Nevada's intent to use an untested drug cocktail to execute Floyd. According to its Execution Manual Procedure ("EM") 103,¹⁰ Nevada proposes to kill Floyd with either a three- or four-drug combination comprised of Fentanyl or Alfentanil (depending on availability); Ketamine; Cisatracurium; and Potassium Chloride or Potassium Acetate (depending on availability). Cisatracurium is a paralytic agent that inhibits an

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

²⁰ ⁹ See Daniel Nichanian, Nevada Prosecutors are Standing in the Way of Abolishing the Death Penalty, The Appeal, May 7, 2021, https://theappeal.org/politicalreport/nevada-prosecutors-arestanding-in-the-way-of-abolishing-the-death-penalty/; Michael Lyle, Prosecutor senators pressed to quit foot-dragging on death penalty abolish bill, Nevada Current, May 11, 2021, https://www.nevadacurrent.com/2021/05/11/prosecutor-senators-pressed-to-quit-foot-dragging-

⁶ Khaleda Rahman, *Nevada is Trying to Abolish the Death Penalty – Democrats Stand In the Way*, Newsweek, May 11, 2021, https://www.newsweek.com/why-nevada-must-abolish-death-penalty-1590054.

 ⁷ Ken Ritter & Sam Metz, *Governor, top Democrat call Nevada death penalty repeal dead*, AP News, May 13, 2021, https://apnews.com/article/nevada-las-vegas-mass-shooting b0ff30e95183f66012b88f5f0c17273f.

 ¹⁸ Sam Metz, *Blue states chart diverging paths on death penalty debate*, AP News, April 21, 2021,
 ¹⁹ https://apnews.com/article/race-and-ethnicity-government-and-politics-nevada-legislature-las-vegas-mass-shooting-f96adbb830e8757bc501f919b276bddc.

on-death-penalty-abolition-bill/; Tabitha Mueller & Michelle Rindels, Opponents of the death
 penalty turn up the heat as abolition bill's future remains murky, The Nevada Independent, May
 11, 2021, https://thenevadaindependent.com/article/opponents-of-the-death-penalty-turn-up-the heat-as-abolition-bills-future-remains-murky.

^{26 &}lt;sup>10</sup> See, Defendant's Notice of Filing, Exhibit A – Redacted Execution Manual at 22, Floyd v. Daniels, No. 3:21-cv-00176-RFB-CLB (D. Nev. June 10, 2021), ECF No. 93.

inmate's ability to communicate but does not affect an inmate's "consciousness, awareness, pain
 or anxiety" during the execution.¹¹

17. No state in the United States has previously used this combination of chemicals to perform a lethal injection.¹²

18. Specifically, neither Alfentanil or Ketamine have ever been used in a lethal injection cocktail.¹³

19. Both drugs have disturbing side effects: Alfentanil inhibits breathing and causes suffocation,¹⁴ while Ketamine causes vomiting, psychosis, disorientation, and hallucinations.¹⁵

20. None of the drugs in the cocktail are known to induce unconsciousness, a shortcoming that, in conjunction with the painful chemical potassium chloride, will likely lead to suffering before

11 death.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

¹¹ Marcella Corona, *How will Scott Dozier die? Experts weigh in on Nevada's experimental execution cocktail*, Reno Gazette-Journal, Nov. 3, 2017, https://www.rgj.com/story/news/2017/11/03/medical-experts-explain-effects-lethal-injection-drugs-nevada-execution/822497001/.

¹² Ken Ritter, *Nevada plans to use 3 or 4 drugs for late-July execution*, AP News, June 10, 2021, <u>https://apnews.com/article/government-and-politics-nv-state-wire-nevada-executions-04c612f234542476daa6d1f6f329729b</u>.

¹³See, State-by-State Lethal Injection Protocol, Death Penalty Information Center, <u>https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/executions/lethal-injection/state-by-state-lethal-injection-protocols</u> (last visited July 19, 2021) (listing all drugs used in lethal injection protocols across the country).

 ¹⁴See, Alfentanil, PubChem (National Library of Medicine), <u>https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/alfentanil</u> (last visited July 19, 2021) ("Serious, lifethreatening, or fatal respiratory depression has been reported with the use of opioids, even when used as recommended. Respiratory depression, if not immediately recognized and treated, may lead to respiratory arrest and death.").

Phillip R. Corlett, et al., *Frontal responses during learning predict vulnerability to the psychotogenic effects of ketamine: linking cognition, brain activity, and psychosis,* Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2006 Jun; 63(6):611-21, <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16754834/</u> ("High-dose")

25 ketamine produces perceptual aberrations . . . and delusion-like beliefs."); see, KETAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE- ketamine hydrochloride injection, solution, concentrate, Hospira, Inc.,

26 *available at* <u>http://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?id=4485</u> (last visited July 19, 2021) (labelling insert indicating that nausea and vomiting are potential side effects).

21. Putting aside Nevada's troubled history with pioneering execution methods,¹⁶ when states
 have experimented with new drugs or changed the dosages in lethal injection cocktails, these
 efforts have often ended in disaster. Considering that at least one study found that 7.1% of lethal
 injections in the United States were "botched," twice the rate of American executions generally,
 these grim results are not surprising.¹⁷

22. Recently botched lethal injection executions include:

- Dennis McGuire in Ohio, where McGuire appeared to "gasp, snort and struggle for air" with his body "convulsing in an apparent attempt to breathe" roughly 10 minutes before he was announced dead.¹⁸
- Joseph R. Wood in Arizona, whose execution lasted 117 minutes as he died "like a fish on shore gulping for air."¹⁹
- Clayton Lockett in Oklahoma, where witnesses watched as he "groaned, writhed, lifted his head and shoulders off the gurney and said 'man'," after he was supposedly sedated.²⁰

¹⁶ See supra fn. 3.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

¹⁸ Josh Sweigart, *Ohio executes McGuire, killer of Preble County woman in 1989*, Dayton Daily News, January 16, 2014, https://www.daytondailynews.com/news/local/ohio-executes-mcguire-killer-preble-county-woman-1989/ddQzdQpjWlwl9q4pir9oEL/

²³ ¹⁹ Laurie Roberts, Arizona Needs a Timeout After Botched Execution, Arizona Republic, July 23,
 ²⁴ ²⁰¹⁴, http://www.azcentrol.com/story/laurieroberts/2014/07/23/woods-execution-botched/13073777.

²⁰ Katie Fretland, *Scene at botched Oklahoma execution of Clayton Lockett was 'a bloody mess'*,
 The Guardian, December 13, 2014, https://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/dec/13/botched-oklahoma-execution-clayton-lockett-bloody-mess.

¹⁷ From 1890 to 2010, about 3% of American executions were "botched." Debbie Sigelbaum, *America's 'inexorably' botched executions*, BBC News, August 1, 2014, https://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-28555978.

Charles Warner in Oklahoma, who had been given a sedative but still described the injection as "feel[ing] like acid" and whose final words were, "My body is on fire."²¹
 23. After botched executions, the press often served as an important counterbalance to the official narrative offered by the government. For example, Arizona's governor claimed Joseph R.
 Wood did not suffer and the procedure was conducted lawfully²² even as newspapers reported that Wood "appeared to be in agony" as he died.²³

B. Procedures in the State's Execution Manual that violate the First Amendment by preventing witnesses from observing Floyd's execution.

24. In addition to describing the lethal injection cocktail combinations, the State's Execution Manual explains the procedures the State intends to follow for Floyd's execution, including how the Director will select the witnesses for the event and what the witnesses will be able to observe as Floyd's execution proceeds.²⁴

25. EM 110.1, which states that Floyd's execution will begin with the "Execution Area Viewing Room blinds" closed and the Viewing Room lights full illuminated. The blinds will only be opened after (1) Floyd enters the Execution Chamber Room, (2) Floyd is secured to the execution table, (3) Floyd's IV has been connected, and (4) the Warden is alone in the Execution Chamber with Floyd. It also suggests that witnesses will not be able to hear anything inside the

²⁴ Defendant's Notice of Filing, Exhibit A – Redacted Execution Manual, *supra* n. 10 (detailing the procedures for Floyd's execution).

²¹ Dana Ford, *Oklahoma executes Charles Warner*, January 16, 2016, CNN, https://www.cnn.com/2015/01/15/us/oklahoma-execution-charles-frederick-warner/index.html

²² Erik Eckholm, *Arizona Takes Nearly 2 Hours to Execute Inmate*, New York Times, July 23, 2014, https://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/24/us/arizona-takes-nearly-2-hours-to-execute-inmate.html.

²³ *First Amend. Coal. of Arizona, Inc. v. Ryan*, 938 F.3d 1069, 1073 (9th Cir. 2019) (summarizing newspaper reports from the incident).

Chamber until after the blinds open, and even then the witnesses will only hear Floyd's last
 words.²⁵

26. EM 110.02(D)(1)(b), which states that "[i]f at any point, the Attending Physician determines that the condemned inmate's responses to the lethal drugs deviates from expected, the Drug Administrators, Warden and Director will pause the procedure, close the Viewing Room window blinds, and consult with the Attending Physician," and only if the execution is continued will the blinds reopen.²⁶

27. EM 101.03(C), which states that "[t]he Director [of NDOC], in his sole discretion, shall determine whether to approve a member of the news media or other media representative to be a witness to the execution." ²⁷

28. EM 101.03(C)(1), which states that "[a] person who has not been invited by the Director may not witness the execution."²⁸

29. Furthermore, the procedures detailed in the Execution Manual do not describe any alternative means that otherwise qualified witnesses will be able to observe the execution if they are denied an invitation by the Director.²⁹

30. These limitations necessarily inhibit any witness's or potential witness's ability to observe and report on Floyd's execution, which violates the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

²⁶ *Id.* at 58.

 2^{7} *Id.* at 17.

 $|_{28}$ Id.

²⁹ See generally, id.

 $^{^{25}}$ *Id.* at 56–57 ("The Warden will then open the Execution Area Viewing Room blinds and advise the condemned inmate that those witnessing the execution may now hear his last words.")

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Claim One - The procedures described in the State's Execution Manual violate the Plaintiff's First Amendment rights as the procedures prevent witnesses from observing Floyd while he is inside the Execution Chamber and suggest that witnesses will not be able to hear what is occurring in the Chamber when the blinds are closed.

31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, each an every preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

32. The public and press have a First Amendment right to view and hear executions in their entirety, from the moment the condemned inmate enters the Execution Chamber until the inmate's death. *Ryan*, 938 F.3d at 1075.

33. EM 110.01, which prevents witnesses from observing Floyd entering the execution chamber or the insertion of his IV, a procedure identical to California's Procedure 770, a procedure struck down as unconstitutional by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. *Woodford*, 299 F.3d at 871.

34. While EM 110.02 varies from California Procedure 770 in that it conceals the condemned after the execution is already under way rather than at the beginning, drawing the blinds in the middle of the execution necessarily contradicts *Woodford*'s requirement that witnesses have "uninterrupted viewing" from the moment Floyd enters the chamber to when he is declared dead. *Id.*

35. Finally, the State's Execution Manual does not explain what witnesses will be able to hear coming from the Execution Chamber during Floyd's execution, but it suggests that witnesses will only be able to hear Floyd's final words after the blinds have been drawn back. "[T]he public and the press have a constitutional right to hear the sounds of the entire execution process." *Ryan*, 983 F.3d at 1076. An open microphone in the Chamber from the moment Floyd enters to his death would be acceptable, but the Execution Manual makes no such assurance. Without this accommodation, the procedure for Floyd's execution violates the First Amendment pursuant to *Ryan*.

Claim Two - The State's procedures violate the Plaintiff's First Amendment rights when it gives the Director of the Nevada Department of Corrections unfettered authority to deny requests from media representatives for an invitation to Floyd's execution

36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, each an every preceding paragraph of this Complaint

37. To attend the Floyd's execution, news media and other media representatives must make a request for an invitation to NDOC's Public Information Officer ("PIO") under EM 101.03(B). *See*.
38. However, EM 101.03(C) states "The Director [of NDOC], in his sole discretion, shall determine whether to approve a member of the news media or other media representative to be a witness to the execution."

39. According to the redacted State's Execution Manual, there is no limit on the Director's discretion nor is the Director required to provide an explanation for a rejection. Under EM 101.03(C), this unfettered discretion necessarily burdens the Plaintiff's First Amendment right to observe Floyd's execution.

40. Giving the Director complete control over what, if any, media representatives observe the execution undermines the basic reason the First Amendment grants access to the proceedings in the first place. As explained in *Ryan*, "the public has a right to *independent* eyewitness accounts of the entire execution process. . . [r]eports of executions by the same prison officials who carry them out are not adequate substitutes." *Id.* at 1077 (emphasis added). The current procedure granting the Director unlimited discretion over what witnesses may attend the execution subverts this fundamental principle and necessarily violates the First Amendment.

Claim Three - The State's procedures violate the Plaintiff's First Amendment rights by failing to providing an alternative way to observe Floyd's execution to press outlets that have been denied an invitation to the execution such as broadcasting the execution on closed circuit television

41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, each an every preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

42. Under EM 101.03(C)(1), which is based on NRS 176.355(4), "[a] person who has not been invited by the Director may not witness the execution."

43. Neither EM 101.03(C)(1) nor NRS 176.355(4) explicitly provides a "a valid, rational connection between" its blanket ban on any person not invited by the Director from witnessing the execution and a "legitimate governmental interest."

1

2

3

44. While a legitimate government interest may exist to limit the number of witnesses physically present in the viewing chambers, to bar witnesses otherwise entitled to view the execution from observing through alternative means such as closed-circuit television serves no legitimate purpose.

45. This alternative is not speculative. The federal government previously offered such an accommodation when it executed Timothy McVeigh, providing secure, off-site video feeds of McVeigh's execution when requested by witnesses that satisfied certain criteria. *Ent. Network, Inc. v. Lappin*, 134 F. Supp. 2d 1002, 1008 (S.D. Ind. 2001).

46. McVeigh was executed over two decades ago. With 20 more years of advances in internet and video technology, offering the opportunity to observe and hear the execution has a become an even more viable option. *See* David Lat & Zachary Shemtob, *The Execution Should Be Televised: An Amendment Making Executions Public*, 78 Tenn. L. Rev. 859, 864 (2011) ("The technological advances of the digital age make it easier than ever to make executions public while reducing logistical difficulties or the prospect of public disorder.").

47. The State's failure to provide similar accommodations to otherwise eligible media representatives who are denied an invitation violates the First Amendment.

Claim Four - The States' use of the paralytic agent cistracurium to create a "chemical curtain" violates the Plaintiff's First Amendment right observe Floyd's execution it prevents the Plaintiff from observing and accurately report on the effects of Nevada's experimental drug cocktail.

48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, each an every preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

49. As made clear in *Ryan*, the public's First Amendment right to observe an execution exists to ensure that the public has "full information regarding the administration of lethal-injection drugs and the prisoner's experience as he dies." *See* 938 F.3d at 1076 ("Barring witnesses from hearing

sounds after the insertion of intravenous lines means that the public will not have full information regarding the administration of lethal-injection drugs and the prisoner's experience as he dies.") 3 (emphasis added). In particular, the public's First Amendment right to observe executions is closely linked to the public's right to observe what, if any, pain the prisoner is in.

50. The State's inclusion of a paralytic agent like cistracurium into the drug cocktail inevitably burdens this right to full information regarding the prisoner's experience by creating a chemical curtain that (1) obscures the symptoms that the prisoner is suffering from witnesses and (2) prevents the prisoner from describing what they are experiencing.

51. Cistracurium does not reduce the prisoner's anxiety, reduce his pain, or cause his death. It is included in the cocktail for the sole purpose of obscuring the prisoner's reaction to the drug to create the illusion that death by lethal injection is painless.

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, each an every preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

53. Considering that public has a right to information related to the prisoner's experience, additions to the cocktail that do not reduce prisoner suffering or induce death but exist solely to obscure what the prisoner is experiencing cannot serve a legitimate government interest.

54. The use of the paralytic agent cistracurium unconstitutionally burdens the public's right to observe the execution in its entirety and have full information related to the prisoner's experience during the execution.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

55. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein, each preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

56. If the State is permitted to carry out Zane Floyd's execution using the procedures described in the State's Execution Manual the Plaintiff will suffer from actual and immediate irreparable injury to its rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

57. No harm will be done to the State if the injunction is granted as it would maintain the status quo and will not deprive the State its authority to carry out the execution of Zane Floyd. The impact

1

of granting the preliminary injunction will only provide a positive effect on the public as it will
 ensure that the public's right to access government proceedings under First Amendment is fully
 vindicated in that the public will have independent witnesses present to report on the
 administration of the lethal injunction and Floyd's experience during the execution.

58. The public will be best served by granting the requested Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order enjoining the State from executing Zane Floyd until the State's procedures are amended and modified to comply with the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

DECLARATORY RELIEF

59. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein, each preceding paragraph of this Complaint.

60. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the Plaintiff and the Defendants concerning the Plaintiff's rights under the First Amendment to:

(1) observe and hear Floyd's execution in its entirety;

(2) have reasonable limitations placed on the Director's authority to deny an invitation to a media representative;

(3) have alternative means to observe the execution if denied an invitation to attend in person; and

(4) not have a chemical added to Floyd's cocktail that will obscure Floyd's experience during the execution.

61. The Plaintiff now seeks a judicial determination of whether it has an enforceable interestin having the State amend their procedures so that:

(1) witnesses will be able to observe Floyd from the moment he enters the Execution Chamber to his death;

(2) witnesses will be able to hear all sounds in the Execution Chamber from the moment Floyd enters the Chamber until his death;

(3) limitations will be placed on the Director's ability to refuse an invitation to an otherwise eligible witness, including standards that the Director must follow in making the decision, a written explanation as to why an invitation was denied, and the opportunity to appeal a denial;
(4) a reasonable alternative to view the execution, closed circuit television, will be made available to otherwise eligible witnesses who are denied an invitation; and

(5) neither cistracurium, any other paralytic agent, nor any chemical meant to obscure Floyd's experience during the execution will be included in the lethal injection cocktail in order that the Plaintiff may ascertain their First Amendment rights to observe and hear Floyd's execution in its entirety unhindered by physical, bureaucratic, or chemical barriers.

62. A judicial determination of the rights and responsibilities of the parties in regards to the procedures used during execution of Zane Floyd is necessary and appropriate at this time in that the uncertainty over the issue of whether the Plaintiff have an enforceable interest in the procedures is hindering final resolution of the claims as brought forth herein.

WHEREFORE, the Nevada Press Association demands judgment against Defendants to include:

 a) Injunctive relief preventing the State from executing Zane Floyd using the procedures described in the State's Execution Manual and requiring the State amend the execution procedures so that:

(1) witnesses will be able to observe Floyd from the moment he enters the Execution Chamber to his death;

(2) witnesses will be able to hear all sounds in the Execution Chamber from the moment Floyd enters the Chamber until his death;

(3) limitations will be placed on the Director's ability to refuse an invitation to an otherwise eligible witness, including standards that the Director must follow in making the decision, a written explanation as to why an invitation was denied, and the opportunity to appeal a denial;

	u		
1			(4) a reasonable alternative to view the execution, closed circuit television, will be
2			made available to otherwise eligible witnesses who are denied an invitation; and
3			(5) cistracurium or any chemical meant to obscure Floyd's experience during the
4			execution will not be included in the lethal injection cocktail;
5		b)	Temporary Restraining Order to achieve the above-requested relief;
6		c)	Declaratory relief recognizing the Plaintiff's First Amendment right to:
7			(1) view Floyd's execution from the moment he enters the Execution Chamber until
8			his death;
9			(2) hear all sounds inside the Execution Chamber from the moment Floyd enters the
10			Execution Chamber until his death;
11			(3) have reasonable limitations placed upon the Director of NDOC's authority to refuse
12			an invitation to an otherwise eligible witness;
13			(4) have reasonable alternative means to observe the execution if denied an invitation
14			to the execution; and
15			(5) observe Floyd's experience during the execution without cistracurium or any other
16			paralytic agent obscuring or manipulating that observation.
17			
18	//		
19	//		
20	//		
21	//		
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		

Case 3:21-cv-00317 Document 1 Filed 07/23/21 Page 17 of 18

1	d) Costs and attorneys'	fees; and					
2	e) Such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.						
3	DATED this 23rd day of	July	, 20 21				
4 5			Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true				
6			and correct.				
7							
8			/s/Christopher Peterson				
9			JENNIFER B. SHOMSHOR Nevada Bar No. 13248				
10			CHRISTOPHER M PETERSON Nevada Bar No. 13932				
11			NICOLE C. LEVY Nevada Bar No. 15061				
12			AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION				
13			OF NEVADA 601 South Rancho Drive, Suite B-11				
14			Las Vegas, NV 89106 Tel./Fax. (702) 830-9205 / (702) 366-1331				
15			Email: Shomshor@aclunv.org Email: Peterson@aclunv.org				
16			Email: Levy@aclunv.org				
17			Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							

1			<u>LR 5-1 PRO</u>	OF O	F SERV	ICE		
2		I HI	EREBY CERTIFY that on the	23rd	day of	July	,20	21
3	I served	1:	Complaint					
4		EN	M/ECF;					
5	Х	Ele	ectronic mail; or					
6		US	S Mail or Carrier Service					
7	Upon:	A	All listed defendants					
8						t to NRS 53.045, I declare of perjury that the foregoin		
9					and corr	rect.		
10								
11					Tamika	Shauntee		
12				-	NAME			
13					an empl	oyee of the ACLU of Neva	da	
14								
15								
16 17								
17								
10								
20								
20								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
~								